

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT		1. CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE 1 OF 2 PAGES	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0009		3. EFFECTIVE DATE 06/21/02		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	
5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)		6. ISSUED BY CODE		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) CODE	
US ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT, HONOLULU CORPS OF ENGINEERS, BUILDING S-200 FORT SHAFTER, HAWAII 96858-5440 CONTRACT SPECIALIST: JODY MURAOKA					
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code)				(X) 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. X DACA83-02-R-0004 9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 04/10/02 10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO. 10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE		FACILITY CODE			

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☒ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☒ is extended, ☐ is not extended.

Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:

(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. Accounting and Appropriation Data (If required)

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

(X)	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc). SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not, ☐ is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

FY02 DLA MILCON KNMD 003002, Replace Hydrant Fuel System, Hickam Air Force Base, Oahu, HI

(See Page 2 of 2 Pages.)

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY	16C. DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		(Signature of Contracting Officer)	

1. Am-0006, Standard Form (SF)30, Page 2, Paragraph 1a, REVISED PROVISIONS/CLAUSES/PAGES, Section 01000, dated June 7, 2002, erroneously stated Section 15070 as being revised. It should have stated Section 15970.
2. CHANGES TO THE SOLICITATION. Attached hereto are revised pages to the solicitation. The revision mark "(Am-0009)" is shown on each revised page.

a. REVISED PROVISIONS/CLAUSES/PAGES. Following are revised pages to the solicitation. Changes are indicated in **bold** print. Although the entire section is being re-issued under Am-0009, only the following pages/paragraphs changed in this section.

Section 00120

Paragraph 2.5.6.1, 2.5.6.1.1, and 2.5.6.2.1

3. The proposal due date is set for June 28, 2002, 2:00 P.M. , Hawaiian Standard Time.

SECTION 00120

PROPOSAL SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS AND EVALUATION FACTORS

1.0 GENERAL

1.1. Cost of Preparing Proposals

The Government will not reimburse any Offeror any costs incurred in the preparation and submittal of an offer in response to this solicitation.

1.2. Inquiries

Address all inquiries regarding this Request for Proposals to:

U.S. Army Engineer District, Honolulu
Attn: Ms. Jody Muraoka (CEPOH-CT-C)
Building S-200
Fort Shafter, Hawaii 96858-5440
Phone No. (808) 438-8575
Fax No. (808) 438-8588
E-Mail: jody.muraoka@usace.army.mil

1.3 Submittal of Proposals

Submit proposal packages to the US Army Corps of Engineers ("the Government") as shown in Block 8 of Standard Form 1442.

Proposals received by the Government after the date and time set for receipt of proposals will be handled in accordance with the requirements of Provision "52.215-1, Instructions to Offerors—Competitive Acquisition (May 2001)," subparagraph (c), found in Section 00100.

1.4 Proposal Evaluation

Numerical scores and other point-scoring techniques will not be used in the evaluation process. Each factor or subfactor will be rated on an adjectival rating system. The Government will evaluate offers in accordance with the NON-PRICE EVALUATION FACTORS described in paragraph 2.5 of this section and the offeror's proposed total price.

Offerors are advised that the Government intends to award without discussions. Upon completing the evaluation of all proposals, the Contracting Officer will, in accordance with the provisions of this solicitation and applicable acquisition regulations, proceed to award without discussions. However, if discussions are determined necessary, the Contracting Officer will establish a competitive range and conduct discussions with those Offerors only within the competitive range. Upon conclusion of discussions, if necessary, the Contracting Officer will request final proposal revisions from the Offerors remaining in the competitive range and may, upon receipt of final proposal revisions, proceed to award a contract without further discussions or notice.

1.5 Contract Award

The Government intends to award a contract to the Offeror whose proposal has been determined to represent the best value to the Government, non-price and price factors considered. Award will be made to the Offeror whose proposal has the best non-price evaluation and the lowest price. However, if there is no Offeror meeting both these criteria, the Government intends to implement a "Best Value" process involving a cost-technical tradeoff process. In this case, award may be made to other than the lowest price Offeror or other than the highest non-price-rated Offeror.

2.0 PROPOSAL FORMAT

2.1 General

Proposals shall be submitted in three (3) separate envelopes. All proposal revisions shall be submitted as page replacements with revised text readily identifiable, e.g. bold face print or underlining. Proposal replacement pages shall be clearly marked "REVISED", shall show the date of revision, shall be submitted in the appropriate number of copies (e.g., if four copies of the original page was required, then four copies of the revised page will also be required), and shall be of a different color than the original pages they are to replace.

2.1.1 Volume I, Non-Price Proposal

One envelope shall be clearly marked, "VOLUME I, NON-PRICE PROPOSAL, RFP NO. DACA83-02-R-0004." It shall contain an original and six (6) copies of the items provided in response to the Non-Price Factors listed in paragraph 2.5.

2.1.2 Volume II, Price Proposal

The second envelope shall be clearly marked, "VOLUME II, PRICE PROPOSAL, RFP NO. DACA83-02-R-0004." It shall contain one original and two copies of the Offeror's completed Standard Form (SF) 1442, using a printed copy of the SF 1442 included in this solicitation.

Volume II shall also include the following:

- One original and two copies of Section 00010, Price Proposal Schedule. Indicate whether or not Facilities Capital Cost of Money is included in the Offeror's costs of performing the work. Proposals that state that Facilities Capital Cost of Money is not included, or proposal that do not address Facilities Capital Cost of Money, will be deemed to have waived Facilities Capital Cost of Money.
- Original and two copies of Price Breakdown (See Paragraph 2.6, Volume II, Price Proposal of Section 00120).
- One original and one copy (certified as a true copy) of the Offeror's executed joint venture agreement and identify the size status for each member of the JV (if the Offeror is a joint venture).
- One original and one copy of the Offeror's completed Section 00600, Representations and Certifications, using a printed copy of Section 00600 included in this solicitation.
- One original and one copy of the Offeror's completed, if applicable, SF LLL, Disclosure of Lobbying Activities, using a printed copy of the SF LLL included as Appendix A in Section 00600.

2.1.3 Volume III, Subcontracting Plan (Large Business Concerns)

If the Offeror is a large business concern, the Offeror shall submit a subcontracting plan in accordance with FAR 52.219-9 (See Section 00100, Appendix A for a sample).

The third envelope shall be clearly marked, "VOLUME III, SUBCONTRACTING PLAN, RFP NO. DACA83-02-R-0004." Volume III will not be evaluated or rated. Only the selected Offeror's plan will be reviewed and must be approved prior to award of the contract.

2.1.4 Table of Contents

Proposal volumes shall be tabbed. Each of the proposal volumes shall include a Table of Contents that includes the title of the subject matter discussed therein and the page number where the information can be

found. The volumes shall be organized in the same order described in paragraph 2.4 of this Section. Each evaluation factor and subfactor shall be separately tabbed. Proposals that are not correctly tabbed may be considered non-responsive.

2.2. Proposal Presentation

Proposals shall be prepared in the English language.

Proposals shall completely address the requirements of the RFP. Elaborate format, binders, special reproduction techniques, and the like are not necessary. However, the proposal shall be neatly organized and bound. All pages, except divider tabs, shall be numbered. Except for divider tabs and revisions sheets, as noted above, plain white 8-1/2" x 11" bond shall be used. However, if drawings or other graphics are included, Offerors may reduce them only to the extent that legibility is not lost.

There is no limit to the number of pages in the non-price proposal. Pages may be single or double sided and shall be typed. Type pitch shall be 10 pitch or larger.

Information presented should be organized so as to pertain to only the evaluation factor or subfactor in which section the information is presented. Information pertaining to more than one evaluation factor or subfactor should be repeated in the tab for each factor or subfactor.

2.3 Proposal Content

Proposals shall be in a narrative format, organized and titled so that each section of the proposal follows the order and format of the factors and subfactors set forth below in paragraph 2.5, "VOLUME I, NON-PRICE PROPOSAL".

Any information, presented in a proposal that the Offeror wants safeguarded from disclosure to other parties must be identified and labeled in accordance with the requirements of Provision "52.215-1, Instructions to Offerors—Competitive Acquisition (May 2001)," subparagraph (e), which is found in Section 00100 of this solicitation. The Government will endeavor to honor the restrictions against release requested by Offerors, to the extent permitted under United States law and regulations.

The proposal must set forth full, accurate, and complete information as required by this solicitation. The Government will rely on such information in the award of a contract. By submission of an offer, the Offeror agrees that all items in its proposal (key managerial and technical home office and on-site personnel, subcontractors, material and equipment manufacturers, targets for utilization of eligible SDB concerns, etc.) will be used throughout the duration of the contract and any substitutions of any item will require prior approval of the Contracting Officer.

2.4 Evaluation Factors

All proposals will be evaluated on non-price and price factors. Offerors are required to provide data addressing all stated factors. If an Offeror does not have data relating to a specific factor, it shall be clearly stated. The Contracting Officer may use discretion in reasonably applying evaluation standards where Offerors provide information to explain or justify deviation from selection criteria listed in the solicitation. Offers that do not address all factors may be considered non-responsive and may not receive further consideration.

Non-price evaluation factors are listed in descending order of importance. All subfactors within a factor have equal importance. Non-price factors are approximately equal in weight to price.

NON-PRICE FACTORS (Volume I):

Factor I, Key Personnel

Factor II, Past Performance

Subfactor A - Past Performance Ratings

Subfactor B - Customer Satisfaction

Factor III, Past Experience

Factor IV, Small Business Program

Subfactor A - Extent of proposed small business subcontracting participation in the performance of the proposed contract.

Subfactor B - Past performance in complying with Small Business Subcontracting Plan goals.

Subfactor C - Extent of participation of small disadvantaged business (SDB) concerns in the performance of the proposed contract in the authorized North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) Industry Subsector

PRICE (Volume II)

2.5 Volume I, Non-Price Proposal

Data provided in response to the non-price factors described below shall be included in Volume I, "Non-Price Proposal". All references to Offeror shall include any proposed subcontractors meeting the criteria stated in paragraph 2.5.2.1 below.

2.5.1 Relevant Experience

Relevant experience refers to construction of military Type III hydrant fueling systems (or equivalent commercial aircraft fueling systems), welding of stainless steel pipe, fuel piping (aboveground and underground), and fuel pumping systems and equipment work; computer-based pump control systems; construction of large vertical above ground fuel storage tanks; and the removal, transportation, and disposal of underground fuel storage tanks and associated piping.

If experience is based upon "equivalent commercial aircraft fueling system", the Offeror shall include a comprehensive and detailed analysis, which explains why the Offeror's cited commercial experience is similar or equivalent to actual Type III experience. This analysis shall include a breakdown and discussion of various project elements and technical challenges in a Type III project. The analysis shall compare the Offeror's commercial experience with the benefits of actual Type III experience on each element as well as overall project integration and management issues.

2.5.2 Construction Team

The construction team shall include the key personnel, joint venture partners, subcontractors, outside associates, or consultants identified in the Offeror's proposal.

2.5.2.1 Subcontract Experience/Credentials

Subcontractors may be included as part of the proposed construction team. The Government will consider the ~~past performance and~~ experience of a subcontractor where the prime contractor provides in its proposal, evidence of a binding teaming agreement or other contractual agreement which creates legal responsibility on the part of the subcontractor. However, the level of consideration will depend on the extent to which the proposal demonstrates the subcontractor's commitment to the project and legal accountability. A copy of all written agreements from each proposed subcontractor shall be included in the proposal. Proposed subcontractors that have not provided a contractual agreement may not be considered in the evaluation of the proposal.

Furthermore, if an Offeror intends to use a subcontractor's past experience ~~or performance~~ information to supplement its own, the subcontractor must provide written consent allowing the Government to hold discussions with the Offeror on the subcontractor's ~~performance~~ experience history. A copy of all consents shall be included in the proposal.

If an Offeror is awarded a contract, all subcontractors that are included in the Offeror's proposal and have provided written commitments to perform in the contract shall be used on the contract. Substitution of any subcontractor(s) included in a successful Offeror's proposal must be submitted for review and acceptance by the Contracting Officer prior to the start of any work by that subcontractor. The Contractor is informed that the Government may take up to 30 days to respond. Any delays resulting from this post-award process shall be the responsibility of the contractor and shall not be a basis for any equitable contract adjustment.

2.5.3 Factor I, Key Personnel

Identify the individuals proposed to fill the key positions --project manager, project superintendent, contractor quality control system manager, and system start-up personnel. Provide resumes for each individual. Resumes must support the individual's qualifications to perform in the identified position, including any special skills or experiences deemed worthy of note. Resumes shall include a List of projects completed by the proposed individual. The list shall include contract number, completion date, title, detailed description, and dollar value. Preference will be given to individuals with past relevant experience (see paragraph 2.5.1 above).

If an Offeror is awarded a contract, all individuals that are included in the Offeror's proposal shall be used on the contract. Substitution or addition of any individual(s) not included in a successful Offeror's proposal must be submitted for review and acceptance by the Contracting Officer prior to the start of work by that individual. The Contractor is informed that the Government will be allowed a minimum of 30 days to respond. Any delays resulting from this substitution process shall be the responsibility of the contractor and shall not be a basis for any equitable contract adjustment.

2.5.3.1 Project Manager

The Project Manager shall be responsible for the contractor's overall management and coordination of this contract and shall be the central point of contact with the Government for performance of all work under this contract, including warranty. The Project Manager shall oversee contract accomplishment, administer all instructions, and answer all questions from the Contracting Officer pertaining to the contract during the life of the contract, including the warranty period. The Project Manager shall be responsible for the complete coordination of all work in this contract. The Project Manager will be responsible for ensuring that adequate internal controls and review procedures are followed in order to eliminate conflicts, errors and omissions, and for ensuring that all technical requirements are met. Another individual may be designated to temporarily act for the Project Manager, however, forty-eight (48) hours advance notice in writing of such change shall be requested to the Contracting Officer, and no change shall be made without prior acceptance by the Contracting Officer. The Project Manager shall have no other duties.

The Project Manager shall have a recognized four-year college degree in engineering, related technical field, or business/management, and five years experience in managing and supervising government construction projects of similar size and scope.

2.5.3.2 Project Superintendent

A Project Superintendent shall be assigned to the contract. This individual shall have a minimum of five years experience as a superintendent on Government construction projects similar in size and scope to this contract. The project superintendent shall have overall responsibility for all operations on the jobsite. The superintendent shall have no other duties.

2.5.3.3 Contractor Quality Control System Manager (CQCSM)

The requirements for the CQCSM are defined in Section 01451.

2.5.3.4 System Start Up Personnel

The requirements for the System Start Up Personnel are defined in Section 15899.

2.5.3.5 Evaluation Standards

Outstanding	The proposal includes all requested information for the factor. Each of the proposed key personnel have at least 10 years of experience on Type III hydrant system construction, plus at least 5 years of other relevant experience and are from the Offeror's organization or committed subcontractors.
Above Average	The proposal includes all requested information for the factor. Each of the proposed key personnel have at least 5 years of experience on Type III hydrant system construction, plus at least 5 years of other relevant experience and are from the Offeror's organization or committed subcontractors.
Satisfactory	The proposal includes all requested information for the factor. All proposed key personnel meet the minimum qualification standards described above and are from the Offeror's organization or committed subcontractors.
Marginal	The proposal does not include all of the requested information for the factor. Not all key personnel are identified, or identified personnel do not meet minimum qualification standards. All proposed key personnel are from the Offeror's organization or committed subcontractors.
Unsatisfactory	The proposal does not include all of the requested information for the factor. Not all key personnel are identified and not all identified personnel meet minimum qualification standards.

2.5.4 Factor II, Past Performance

Data provided in support of this factor shall clearly demonstrate the Offeror's ability to meet the requirements of the contract based on his past performance history on relevant projects similar in size and scope to this contract. Only past performance considered relevant to this project will be considered (see paragraph 2.5.1 above).

2.5.4.1 Information Quality

Offerors should submit complete and accurate information. The Government may elect not to request additional information to perform the evaluation.

2.5.4.2 Subfactor A, Past Performance Ratings

For each of the contracts identified in Volume I, Factor III, Experience, indicate the final overall performance rating received. Only performance ratings for the Offeror will be considered. Projects submitted to demonstrate subcontractor experience will not be included in the evaluation of this subfactor. Provide documentation of the indicated rating in this tab. Undocumented performance ratings will not be considered.

2.5.4.2.1 Evaluation Standards

Outstanding	The Offeror has provided projects meeting the criteria for Factor III, Past Experience. Of the projects meeting the criteria for Past Experience (see paragraph 2.5.5), none of the final performance ratings are less than Satisfactory and at least half are Outstanding.
Above Average	The Offeror has provided projects meeting the criteria for Factor III, Past Experience. Of the projects meeting the criteria for Past Experience (see paragraph 2.5.5), none of the final performance ratings are less than Satisfactory and at least half are Above Average.
Satisfactory	The Offeror has provided projects meeting the criteria for Factor III, Past Experience. Of the projects meeting the criteria for Past Experience (see paragraph 2.5.5), none of the final performance ratings are less than Satisfactory.
Marginal	The Offeror has provided projects meeting the criteria for Factor III, Past Experience. Of

	the projects meeting the criteria for Past Experience (see paragraph 2.5.5), none of the final performance ratings are less than Marginal.
Unsatisfactory	The Offeror has provided projects meeting the criteria for Factor III, Past Experience. Of the projects meeting the criteria for Past Experience (see paragraph 2.5.5), at least one received an Unsatisfactory final performance rating, or documented performance ratings were not submitted.
Neutral	Offerors will not be rated favorably or unfavorably if the Offeror does not have a record of relevant past performance. However, an Offeror with no past performance history may be considered less favorably than an Offeror with a favorable past performance history.

2.5.4.3 Subfactor B, Customer Satisfaction

A customer survey sheet is provided at the end of this section as Attachment 2. For each of the contracts identified in Volume I, Factor III, Offerors shall complete Part A. Offerors shall send the partially completed forms to the selected survey respondents for completion of Part B. The respondent shall return completed surveys directly to the Contracting Officer at the following address:

US Army Engineer District, Honolulu
CEPOH-CT-C
Attn: DACA83-02-R-0004, Customer Survey
Bldg. 230
Fort Shafter, HI 96858-5440

Fax: 808-438-8588

Respondents should be requested to return surveys by the closing date of the solicitation. Surveys received directly from offerors will not be considered in the evaluation.

A copy of page 1 of all partially completed survey sheets sent shall be included in this tab. Ensure that the reference number is completed on each survey sheet to correctly match surveys to the projects listed in Factors III.

2.5.4.3.1 Evaluation Standards

Outstanding	Surveys were received for all of the projects listed in Volume I, Factor III. On all surveys received, all of the ratings for questions 2 through 8 were above average or better and at least half of the ratings for question 9 were outstanding.
Above Average	Surveys were received for all of the projects listed in Volume I, Factor III. On all surveys received, none of the ratings for questions 2 through 8 were less than satisfactory and at least half of the ratings for question 9 were above average or better.
Satisfactory	Surveys were received for all of the projects listed in Volume I, Factor III. On all surveys received, none of the ratings for questions 2 through 9 were less than satisfactory.
Marginal	Surveys were not received for all of the projects listed in Volume I, Factor III; and on the surveys received, none of the ratings for questions 2 through 9 were less than satisfactory.
Unsatisfactory	Surveys were not received for all of the projects listed in Volume I, Factor III; and on the surveys received, one or more of the ratings for questions 2 through 9 were less than satisfactory.
Neutral	Offerors will not be rated favorably or unfavorably if the Offeror does not have a record of relevant past performance or information on past performance is not available. However, an Offeror with no past performance history may be considered less favorably than an Offeror with a favorable past performance history.

2.5.5 Factor III, Past Experience

Data provided in support of this factor shall clearly demonstrate the Offeror's ability to meet the requirements of the contract based on his past experience on relevant projects similar in size and scope to this contract (see paragraph 2.5.1). Only experience considered relevant to this project will be considered.

For each of the projects provided in support of this factor, a Project Data Sheet shall be completed. This sheet is included as Attachment 1 to this specification section. All requested information shall be provided. Failure to provide any of the requested data may be cause to eliminate a project from consideration in the evaluation.

2.5.5.1 Past Experience

Offerors shall identify contracts demonstrating relevant experience completed after 1995, or still underway and awarded prior to 2001, in which they were/are the prime contractor.

If the Offeror intends to rely on its joint venture partner's or subcontractor's past experience/~~past performance for the system supplier or tank installation, etc.~~, the Offeror shall submit the information shown in Attachment 1 for each ~~subcontractor~~ committed member of the proposed construction team (see also paragraph 2.5.2 and 2.5.2.1 above).

In order to demonstrate the depth of its experience, Offerors may submit data for themselves and their committed construction team members for the same project. However, the submission of data for multiple team members on the same project will only be counted as a single project.

2.5.5.2 Evaluation Standards

Outstanding	The Offeror has provided at least 8 relevant projects meeting the stated criteria.
Above Average	The Offeror has provided at least 6 relevant projects meeting the stated criteria.
Satisfactory	The Offeror has provided at least 4 relevant projects meeting the stated criteria.
Marginal	The Offeror has provided at least 2 relevant projects meeting the stated criteria.
Unsatisfactory	None of the projects provided by the Offeror are relevant or meet the stated criteria.

2.5.6. Factor IV, Small Business Program

Offerors shall submit data that demonstrate its use of Small Business Concerns for Subfactors A and B. Small Business Concerns include small disadvantaged businesses (SDB), women-owned small businesses, HUBZone small businesses, veteran-owned small businesses and service disabled veteran-owned small businesses. Offerors shall submit data that demonstrate its use of SDB's for Subfactor C.

2.5.6.1 Subfactor A - Extent of proposed small business subcontracting participation in the performance of the proposed contract

- If the offeror is submitting a proposal as a joint venture (JV), identify the size status of each member of the JV.
- Identify in terms of dollar value and percentage of the total proposed contract price, the extent of work the offeror will perform as the prime contractor.
- **Provide a list of Small Businesses, Small Disadvantaged Businesses, Veteran-Owned Small Businesses, HUBZone Small Businesses, Women-Owned Small Businesses and Historically Black Colleges or Universities/Minority Institutions which the Offeror proposes to use as a joint venture, teaming arrangement, or subcontractor if awarded a contract under this solicitation.**

- Identify in terms of dollar value and percentage of the total proposed contract price, the work to be subcontracted to small business concerns, SDB concerns, women-owned small business concerns, HUBZone small business concerns, veteran-owned small business concerns and if applicable, historically black colleges or universities/minority institutions (HBCU/MI).

2.5.6.1.1 Evaluation Standards

Outstanding	Proposal shows extensive effort and commitments in utilizing SB; all USACE subcontracting goals* are exceeded. Specific SB, SDB and WOSB are identified as subcontractors or team members.
Above Average	All USACE subcontracting goals* are met and some are exceeded. Specific SB, SDB and WOSB are identified as subcontractors or team members.
Satisfactory	USACE subcontracting goals* are met. Specific SB, SDB and WOSB are identified as subcontractors or team members. Offerors from small business concerns will be given at least a satisfactory rating.
Marginal	Most of the USACE subcontracting goals* are met, specific SB subcontractors or team members are not identified.
Unsatisfactory	None of the USACE subcontracting goals* are met and no justification is provided.

*** USACE subcontracting goals are: SB will perform 62 % of the total subcontract value; 10 % of the total subcontract value will go to SDB; 5% of the total subcontract value will go to WOSB.**

2.5.6.2 Subfactor B - Past performance in complying with Small Business Subcontracting Plan goals.

- Provide SF 294's, "Subcontracting Report for Individual Contracts" for projects of similar scope and magnitude.
- Provide information on awards received for outstanding support of the small business program.
- Provide information on any existing or prior mentor-protégé agreements.

2.5.6.2.1 Evaluation Standards

Outstanding	All goals were exceeded or satisfactory justification provided. The Offeror has received awards for outstanding support of the small business program, and the Offeror is or has participated in mentor-protégé agreements or other outreach.
Above Average	All goals were met or exceeded or satisfactory justification provided and the Offeror is or has participated in mentor-protégé agreements or other outreach.
Satisfactory	All goals were met. Offerors from small business concerns will be given at least a satisfactory rating.
Marginal	Not all goals were met and no satisfactory justification was provided.
Unsatisfactory	No goals were met and no satisfactory justification was provided.
Neutral	Except in the case of small business Offerors, Offerors will not be rated favorable or unfavorably if the Offeror does not have a record of relevant past performance in complying with small business subcontracting plan goal. However, an Offeror with no past performance history may be considered less favorably than an Offeror with a favorable past performance history.

2.5.6.3 Subfactor C - Extent of participation of small disadvantaged business (SDB) concerns in the performance of the proposed contract in the authorized North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) Industry Subsector.

- The offeror shall provide targets expressed as dollars and percentages of the total contract value, in each of the applicable, authorized NAICS Industry Subsector, for SDB participation by the contractor, including joint venture partners and team members, and a total target for SDB participation by subcontractors. (The authorized NAICS Industry Subsectors as determined by the Department of Commerce are posted at <http://www.arnet.gov/References/sdbadjustments.htm>.)
- Targets for subcontractors shall be listed separately. The offeror shall provide a listing of the name, address, telephone number, type of work to be performed and target for each SDB subcontractor. Any targets will be incorporated into and become part of the resulting contract.

2.5.6.3.1 Evaluation Standards

Outstanding	SDB participation targets in each of the applicable, authorized NAICS Industry Subsector are provided. Targets are challenging. Specific SDB concerns are identified.
Above Average	SDB participation targets in each of the applicable, authorized NAICS Industry Subsector are provided. Targets are realistic. Specific SDB concerns are identified.
Satisfactory	SDB participation targets in each of the applicable, authorized NAICS Industry Subsector are provided. Targets are realistic. Specific SDB concerns are not identified.
Marginal	No SDB participation targets in the applicable, authorized NAICS Industry Subsector are provided. Satisfactory justification is provided.
Unsatisfactory	No SDB participation targets in the applicable, authorized NAICS Industry Subsector are provided. No justification is provided.

2.6 Volume II, Price Proposal

A price breakdown shall be included in Volume II, "Price Proposal". The Offeror's proposed total price for Bid Item No. 1 shall be broken down according to the following items of work as described on sheet D-I of the contract drawings. Only a lump sum price for each of the items identified below is required. Do not submit a cost breakdown.

A. Work under Phase IA to include: New facility at Fill Stand Area, fill and unloading stands, transfer fuel piping and all temporary work as required. Costs will be broken down by:

- 1) Mechanical costs under Division 15.
- 2) Electrical costs under Division 16.
- 3) All other costs associated with Phase IA.

B. Work under Phase IB to include: Demolition of fuel Area 5, new Diesel Fueling Facility and all temporary work as required. Costs will be broken down by:

- 1) 30,000 gallon diesel fuel tanks No. 1 and 2.
- 2) All other mechanical costs under Division 15.
- 3) Electrical costs under Division 16.
- 4) All other costs associated with Phase IB.

C. Work under Phase 2A to include: Demolition of fuel Area 11, new Operations Area including tanks, and all facilities in the Fuel Operations Area. Costs will be broken down by:

- 1) Operating tanks No. 3 and 4.
- 2) Mechanical costs under Division 15.
- 3) Electrical costs under Division 16.
- 4) All other costs associated with Phase 2A.

D. Work under Phases 2B thru 2I to include: Installing new Fuel Distribution System including excavation, shoring, dewatering, fuel piping, pits, backfill, testing and all items necessary to complete the system. Costs will be broken down by:

- 1) Dewatering and care of water.
- 2) All other costs associated with Phase 2B thru 2I.

E. Work under Phase 3A thru 3C to include: Demolition and abandonment of existing fuel system and restoration work.